
ND Spoofing for Fun and Profit Distributing server farm traffic efficiently

Lutz Donnerhacke

IKS Service GmbH


The Problem

- High bandwidth servers
- Distributed clients
 - Distribute locations
 - Intermediate bandwidth limited
- Third party appliances
 - Internal communications?
 - Single default gateway
 - No technical contacts
- Design violation
 - Should buy two clusters




First Hop Redundancy

- Single active router
 - HSRP, etc.
 - Failover
- Traffic flow
 - Deterministic
 - Not optimal
 - Intermediate bandwidth required




Disturb First Hop Redundancy

- Prevent FHR communication
 - Both nodes active
 - Complicated, error prone
- Low latency = local
 - First come, first serve
 - Slow and unstable redundancy
- Do not disturb the cluster
 - May harm internal communication
- Hard to operate
 - Always a fail state




SDN for the rescue

- Inject the router twice
 - MAC into BGP
 - Least cost route
- Pro
 - Stable
 - Redundant
- Con (for us)
 - Redesign of core network
 - Expensive




Back to the blackboard

- Different gateways
 - Each server has an other router
 - HSRP still possible
- Locality depend configuration
 - Communicate with vendor
 - Change application
 - Change rollout
- Unlikely ⊗



Can we fool the servers?

- Trivial idea
 - Same IP, different MAC
 - First come, first server
- Fails in practice
 - Duplicate IP detection
 - Missing ND responses
 - Core in danger



ND for the rescue

Router


- IPs from different networks
- Down: Host routes to interface

ND-Server

- Fake ND responses
- Rule based: who, whom, what
- Can respond with HSRP-MACs

Server

Automatically learn optimal MAC



Background

- xDSL networks
 - Carrier blocks
 - Customers need
- PARPD
 - Rule based ARP/ND responder
- Sources
 - https://lutz.donnerhacke.de/Blog/ Proxy-ARP-daemon
 - https://bugs.freebsd.org/bugzilla/ show bug.cgi?id=223594

